

CORRECTION(S) TO WATER WELL RECORD (WWC-5)

(to rectify lacking or incorrect information)

Location listed as:

Section-Township-Range: 27-20 S-3 W

Fraction ($\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$): SE NE NE

County: McPherson

Location changed to:

26-20 S-3 W

W2 SW NW NW

Other changes: Initial statements: _____

Changed to: _____

Comments: Latitude: 38.28705° N, Longitude: 97.629589° W.

52' FWL 990' FNL.

verification method: Letter and corrections from KCC, lat./long.,
and footages.

initials: DR date: 11/28/2005

submitted by: Kansas Geological Survey, Data Resources Library, 1930 Constant Ave., Lawrence, KS 66047-3726


to: Kansas Dept of Health & Environment, Bureau of Water, 1000 SW Jackson, Suite 420, Topeka, KS 66612-1367.

1 LOCATION OF WATER WELL:	Fraction	Section Number	Township Number	Range Number
County: <u>McPherson</u>	<u>SE 1/4 NE 1/4 NE 1/4</u>	<u>27</u>	T <u>20</u> S	R <u>3</u> E <u>W</u>

Distance and direction from nearest town or city street address of well if located within city?

1/2 mile west of Elvira, KS on Comanche Rd. then 1/2 south on 16th Ave

2 WATER WELL OWNER:	RR#, St. Address, Box #	Board of Agriculture, Division of Water Resources
<u>KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION District #2</u>	<u>130 South Market St. RM 2125</u>	
City, State, ZIP Code	<u>Wichita KS 67202-3802</u>	Application Number:

3 LOCATE WELL'S LOCATION WITH AN "X" IN SECTION BOX:	4 DEPTH OF COMPLETED WELL	ELEVATION:
	<u>72</u> ft.	

Depth(s) Groundwater Encountered 1. ft. 2. ft. 3. ft.

WELL'S STATIC WATER LEVEL 43.4 ft. below land surface measured on mo/day/yr

Pump test data: Well water was ft. after hours pumping gpm

Est. Yield gpm: Well water was ft. after hours pumping gpm

Bore Hole Diameter 7 5/8 in. to 80 ft. and in. to ft.

WELL WATER TO BE USED AS: 5 Public water supply 8 Air conditioning 11 Injection well

1 Domestic 3 Feedlot 6 Oil field water supply 9 Dewatering 12 Other (Specify below)

2 Irrigation 4 Industrial 7 Lawn and garden only 10 Monitoring wellWas a chemical/bacteriological sample submitted to Department? Yes No X If yes, mo/day/yr sample was submittedWater Well Disinfected? Yes No X

5 TYPE OF BLANK CASING USED:	5 Wrought iron	8 Concrete tile	CASING JOINTS: Glued Clamped
1 Steel	3 RMP (SR)	6 Asbestos-Cement	9 Other (specify below)
<u>2 PVC</u>	4 ABS	7 Fiberglass	10 Asbestos-cement
Blank casing diameter <u>2</u> in. to <u>62</u> ft. Dia			11 Other (specify)
Casing height <u>above</u> land surface <u>36</u> in. weight lbs./ft. Wall thickness or gauge No. <u>Sch 40</u>			12 None used (open hole)

TYPE OF SCREEN OR PERFORATION MATERIAL:

1 Steel 3 Stainless steel 5 Fiberglass 8 RMP (SR) 11 Other (specify)

2 Brass 4 Galvanized steel 6 Concrete tile 9 ABS 12 None used (open hole)

SCREEN OR PERFORATION OPENINGS ARE:

1 Continuous slot 3 Mill slot .010 5 Gauzed wrapped 8 Saw cut 11 None (open hole)2 Louvered shutter 4 Key punched 6 Wire wrapped 9 Drilled holes (WELL # ELY 13-02)SCREEN-PERFORATED INTERVALS: From 62 ft. to 72 ft. From ft. to ft.GRAVEL PACK INTERVALS: From 80 ft. to 57 ft. From ft. to ft.

6 GROUT MATERIAL:	1 Neat cement	2 Cement grout	<u>3 Bentonite</u>	<u>4 Other</u> <u>1 Bucket of 1/2" pellets</u>
-------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------	--

Grout Intervals: From 57 ft. to 54 ft. From 54 ft. to 3 ft. From ft. to ft.

What is the nearest source of possible contamination:

1 Septic tank 4 Lateral lines 7 Pit privy 10 Livestock pens 14 Abandoned water well

2 Sewer lines 5 Cess pool 8 Sewage lagoon 11 Fuel storage 15 Oil well/Gas well

3 Watertight sewer lines 6 Seepage pit 9 Feedyard 12 Fertilizer storage 16 Other (specify below)

Direction from well? 999 How many feet? 999

FROM	TO	LITHOLOGIC LOG	FROM	TO	PLUGGING INTERVALS
0"	6"	Silty clay, dark brn			
6"	13'	Silty clay, lt. brn soft			
13'	16'	Silty clay, orange brn. moderately stiff.			
16'	18'	Silty sand, brn, fine grained, carbonaceous material.			
18'	42'	Clay, med. brn, slightly silty, plastic			
42'	48'	Silty clay, med. orange-brn, (microcrystalline) material			
48'	54'	" " " " " plastic			
54'	64'	Clayey silt, med. brn, trace of very fine to fine sand, sand increasing with depth			
64'	70'	Sand, buff, very fine to med. silty/clayey zones, trace of carbonaceous material			
70'	72'	Sand, buff, very fine to med, trace coarse, sub-rounded to angular interbedded silty clays.			
72'	78'	Weathered shale, yellow/gray to green/gray, silty.			
78'	80'	Shale, gray and yellow-brn. laminated, silty.			

7 CONTRACTOR'S OR LANDOWNER'S CERTIFICATION: This water well was <u>(1) constructed</u> , <u>(2) reconstructed</u> , or <u>(3) plugged</u> under my jurisdiction and was completed on (mo/day/year) <u>7-12-02</u> and this record is true to the best of my knowledge and belief. Kansas

Water Well Contractor's License No. 665 This Water Well Record was completed on (mo/day/yr) 10-2-02under the business name of PRATT WELL ENVIRONMENTAL by (signature) Steven E. Pratt



KANSAS

CORPORATION COMMISSION

KATHLEEN SEBELIUS, GOVERNOR

BRIAN J. MOLINE, CHAIR

ROBERT E. KREHBIEL, COMMISSIONER

MICHAEL C. MOFFET, COMMISSIONER

March 29, 2005

Bureau of Water
Environmental
Attn: Geology Section
1000 South West Jackson Suite#420
Topeka, Kansas 66612-1367

Re: Corrected water Well Location
Monitoring wells KCC
McPherson County

Dear Sir:

Enclosed are copies of the WWC5 as in database. Corrections have been marked on the forms.

While I was working with the KGS water well database when I noticed that the correction on this project had not been sent to you. If you have any questions please call.

Sincerely



Bill Johnson
Environmental Geologist

C: file

RECEIVED

MAR 31 2005

BUREAU OF WATER

COPY

RUNNING TURKEY CREEK
ERRORS IN THE FINAL REPORT BY T & C MFG OPER.

SECTION 1: WELL LOCATIONS & SURVEY DATA SHEET

1. There are two topographic maps submitted with this report that show the locations for the monitoring wells. The first map shows the locations for the monitoring wells in the Elyria area, and the second shows the locations for monitoring wells in the Galva area. The Elyria map does not show the locations for monitoring wells 17-02, 18-02 or 19-02, and the Galva area map show the original locations for monitoring wells 2-02, 5-02, and 6-02, which had to be moved due to drilling obstructions such as pipelines.
2. Following the topographic maps is a spreadsheet listing all spot location data for monitoring wells that were completed and not plugged. Well locations are wrong for monitoring wells 2-02, 5-02, 6-02, 13-02, 15-02, and 16-02. It is assumed that the Lat./Long coordinates for these wells are also wrong. As stated above some locations were moved due to drilling obstructions, and were not re-shot with a GPS unit, but all errors include the wrong section and spot locations
3. The survey work done by Melanie L. Thrower is very vague, and uses ^{some} monitoring well numbers that were not designated numbers by Bill Johnson. This survey only gives the monitoring well numbers and the elevations for the Top of Casings. There is no confirming what sec-twp-rge or location she was in when doing the survey work. The survey did not include MW 15-02, but shows two surveys for 16-02. There is a 16-B-02 and a 16-02(s). It appears by looking at the elevations the 16-02(s), (s for south) corresponds to MW 15-02, but again without having a spot location this is only a good guess.

SECTION 2: DAILY JOB LOG

1. This section has limited information and it appears Geostat didn't keep a daily log from 6-8-02 to 7-30-02. Their logbook does have dates for when the wells were developed.

SECTION 3: WELL INFORMATION SECTION; WELL LOG, WWWC-5 FORM, LOG OF TEST BORING

1. MW 1-02 OK
- ✓2. MW 2-02 Has the original location on the well log which was copied onto the WWWC-5 form. Wrong spot, section and Lat./Long coordinates.
3. MW 3-02 OK
4. MW 4-02 OK

RECEIVED
MAR 31 2005
BUREAU OF WATER

COPY

RUNNING TURKEY CREEK
ERRORS IN THE FINAL REPORT BY T & C MFG OPER.

SECTION 1: WELL LOCATIONS & SURVEY DATA SHEET

1. There are two topographic maps submitted with this report that show the locations for the monitoring wells. The first map shows the locations for the monitoring wells in the Elyria area, and the second shows the locations for monitoring wells in the Galva area. The Elyria map does not show the locations for monitoring wells 17-02, 18-02 or 19-02, and the Galva area map show the original locations for monitoring wells 2-02, 5-02, and 6-02, which had to be moved due to drilling obstructions such as pipelines.
2. Following the topographic maps is a spreadsheet listing all spot location data for monitoring wells that were completed and not plugged. Well locations are wrong for monitoring wells 2-02, 5-02, 6-02, 13-02, 15-02, and 16-02. It is assumed that the Lat./Long coordinates for these wells are also wrong. As stated above some locations were moved due to drilling obstructions, and were not re-shot with a GPS unit, but all errors include the wrong section and spot locations
3. The survey work done by Melanie L. Thrower is very vague, and uses ^{some} monitoring well numbers that were not designated numbers by Bill Johnson. This survey only gives the monitoring well numbers and the elevations for the Top of Casings. There is no confirming what sec-twp-rge or location she was in when doing the survey work. The survey did not include MW 15-02, but shows two surveys for 16-02. There is a 16-B-02 and a 16-02(s). It appears by looking at the elevations the 16-02(s), (s for south) corresponds to MW 15-02, but again without having a spot location this is only a good guess.

SECTION 2: DAILY JOB LOG

1. This section has limited information and it appears Geostat didn't keep a daily log from 6-8-02 to 7-30-02. Their logbook does have dates for when the wells were developed.

SECTION 3: WELL INFORMATION SECTION; WELL LOG, WWWC-5 FORM, LOG OF TEST BORING

1. MW 1-02 OK
- ✓2. MW 2-02 Has the original location on the well log which was copied onto the WWWC-5 form. Wrong spot, section and Lat./Long coordinates.
3. MW 3-02 OK
4. MW 4-02 OK

RECEIVED
MAR 31 2005
BUREAU OF WATER

COPY

- ✓ 5. MW 5-02 Has the original location on the well log which was copied onto the WWC-5 form. Wrong spot, section and Lat./Long coordinates.
- ✓ 6. MW 6-02 Has the original location on the well log which was copied onto the WWC-5 form. Wrong spot, section and Lat./Long coordinates.
7. MW 7-02 OK
8. MW 8-02 PLUGGED. Well log indicated TD was 45'. Bill Johnson's field notes indicate TD was 40'.
9. MW 9-02 PLUGGED. The lithologic description in this report is for MW 11-02, not 9-02. The wrong log was probably used when typing up the report. The TD for 9-02 was 35', not 63'. Location in the report is correct for 9-02. WWC-5 form also has correct location for 9-02, but the rest of the lithologic log is for MW 11-02 as well.
10. MW 10-02 OK
11. MW 11-02 PLUGGED. NO REPORT SUBMITTED.
12. MW 12-02 OK
- ✓ 13. MW 13-02 Wrong spot location and section. WWC5 form also reflects the wrong spot location and section. Wrong Lat./Long?
14. MW 14-02 OK
- ✓ 15. MW 15-02 Wrong spot location and section. WWC5 form also reflects the wrong spot location and section. Wrong Lat./Long?
- ✓ 16. MW 16-02 Wrong spot location and section. WWC5 form also reflects the wrong spot location and section. Wrong Lat./Long?
17. MW 17-02 OK
18. MW 18-02 OK
19. MW 19-02 10' of screen and 64' of pipe run, not 66' of pipe run. WWC5 form OK